

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 02/15/2002 10/078,189 Akira Tsukihashi 81784.0249 5434 **EXAMINER** 26021 7590 06/08/2004 HOGAN & HARTSON L.L.P. AGUSTIN, PETER VINCENT 500 S. GRAND AVENUE ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER **SUITE 1900** LOS ANGELES, CA 90071-2611 2652 DATE MAILED: 06/08/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	10/078,189	TSUKIHASHI, AKIRA
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Peter Vincent Agustin	2652
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on		
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is		
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are pending in the application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4,6-12,14-20 and 22</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) <u>4,5,9,13,17,20 and 21</u> is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.		
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.		
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>15 February 2002</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).		
a) ☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		
<ul> <li>1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> </ul>		
Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No      Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage		
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.		
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal I	Patent Application (PTO-152)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)	
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)  Office Ac	ction Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 6

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/078,189

Art Unit: 2652

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### **Priority**

1. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

### Claim Objections

2. Claims 4, 9, 17 & 20 are objected to because of the following informalities:

Claim 4, line 1: "claim 2" should be --claim 3--.

Claim 9, line 4: "the pregroove" should be --a pregroove--.

Claim 17, line 3: "the disc" should be --a disc--.

Claim 20, line 1: "claim 18" should be --claim 19--.

Appropriate correction is required.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 4. Applicant cannot rely upon the foreign priority papers to overcome this rejection because a translation of said papers has not been made of record in accordance with 37 CFR 1.55. See MPEP § 201.15.
- 5. Claims 1, 2, 6, 15, 17, 18 & 22 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Shimizu et al. (hereafter Shimizu) (JP 2002025064 A).

Art Unit: 2652

In regard to claims 1, 15 & 17, Shimizu discloses a disc recording apparatus (solution) for recording data on a disc (101), a disc (101) recorded with data, or a method for recording data comprising a recording address y (paragraph 0090) calculated from y=n(x-m)+m, where x (paragraph 0088) is an absolute time address generated on the basis of a pregroove formed on the disc, n (paragraph 0099) is a scale factor of recording density, and m is a recording start address (inherent). It should be noted that the presence of a recording start address, m, is inherent because all optical recording/playing devices necessarily require an initial reference address where recording/playing should begin. The factor "x-m" in the above equation is inherent because it is well known in the art that a recording address corresponding to a specific section of an optical disc is obtained by subtracting the starting address, m, from an absolute time address, x. The reference suggests on paragraph 0099 using a scale factor, n, of 1.4, which is a scale factor of recording density; and it is inherently suggested that this scale factor is used to adjust the actual start address, i.e., n(x-m). Finally, it is well known in the art that the recording address y is derived by adding the start address, m, to the specific section of an optical disc, i.e., m+(xm). Without scaling, or with a scale factor of 1, the equation simply becomes y = x. By using the derived scale factor and applying it to the factor "x-m", y = m + (scaled version), i.e., y=n(x-m).

Page 3

In regard to claim 2, Shimizu discloses that information regarding storage capacity for data storage on the disc is received, and on the basis of the received information, the scale factor n of recording density is determined (paragraphs 0092 & 0099).

In regard to claims 6 & 22, Shimizu discloses that the received information regarding storage capacity is sent from an external computer (see solution). The presence of a control part

Art Unit: 2652

61, a reference clock, and a means for detecting addresses suggests the presence of an external computer.

In regard to claim 18, Shimizu discloses determining the scale factor n of recording density on the basis of information regarding storage capacity for data storage on the disc received (paragraphs 0092 & 0099).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 3, 4, 19 & 20 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shimizu in view of Shishido et al. (hereafter Shishido) (US 2002/0136137).

For a description of Shimizu, see the rejection above. However, in regard to claims 3 & 19, Shimizu does not disclose a means/step for comparing the received information regarding storage capacity and a predetermined maximum storage capacity.

Shishido discloses a means/step for comparing received information regarding storage capacity and a predetermined maximum storage capacity (page 11, paragraph 0307). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention by the applicant to have added the comparing means/step of Shishido to the apparatus/method of Shimizu, the motivation being to avoid unnecessary writing in an event that a recording capacity is insufficient, thereby preventing disc wastage.

Art Unit: 2652

Furthermore, in regard to claims 4 & 20, Shishido and hence the obvious combination noted above, discloses that if the predetermined maximum storage capacity is exceeded in a comparison of the received information regarding storage capacity and the maximum storage capacity, data indicating that recording is impossible is sent (page 11, paragraph 0307).

8. Claims 7 & 16 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shimizu.

For a description of Shimizu, see the rejection above. Furthermore, Shimizu suggests a value of n greater than 1, i.e., 1.4 (paragraph 0099). Shimizu does not disclose a value of n less than or equal to 1.2.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention by the applicant to have used a scale factor less than or equal to 1.2 because applicant has not disclosed that a scale factor less than or equal to 1.2 provides an advantage, is used for a particular purpose, or solves a stated problem; and the claimed invention would have been expected to perform equally well with either the scale factor of 1.4 taught by Shimizu or the claimed scale factor less than or equal to 1.2, because both values perform the same function of providing a more accurate and reliable recording address on the basis of recording density.

9. Claim 8 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shimizu as applied to claim 7 above, and further in view of Shishido.

For a description of Shimizu, see the rejection above. However, Shimizu does not disclose that if scale factor n that is determined on the basis of received information exceeds 1.2, a response is sent indicating that recording at that scale factor n is impossible.

Shishido discloses sending a response indicating that recording is impossible (page 11, paragraph 0307) if a maximum storage capacity is exceeded, but does not specify a scale factor

Art Unit: 2652

of 1.2. At the time of invention by the applicant, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have added the step of sending a response indicating that recording is impossible if the scale factor of Shimizu exceeds 1.2, because applicant has not disclosed that a specific value of 1.2 provides an advantage, is used for a particular purpose, or solves a stated problem, and it would have been recognized that the step of sending a response indicating that recording is impossible if a maximum storage capacity is exceeded, as taught by Shishido, or if the scale factor exceeds 1.2, as claimed, would have produced the same result because both conditions perform the same function of avoiding unnecessary writing in an event that a recording capacity is insufficient, thereby preventing disc wastage.

10. Claims 9, 10 & 14 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shimizu in view of Ishikawa et al. (hereafter Ishikawa) (JP 2000099397 A).

In regard to claim 9, Shimizu discloses a disc recording apparatus for recording data to a disc comprising a recording address calculated as y=n(x-m)+m, where x is the absolute time address generated on the basis of a pregroove formed on the disc, n is the scale factor of recording density, and m is the recording start address (see claim 1 rejection above). However, Shimizu does not disclose performing the above calculation in the case where an offset address does not exist and calculating a recording address z as z=y+p in the case where recording is performed with the offset address, where p is the offset address.

Ishikawa (see solution) discloses calculating a recording address as z=y+p (y: access address; p: offset address). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention by the applicant to have added the calculating step of Ishikawa in the case

Art Unit: 2652

where an offset address exists in the apparatus of Shimizu, the motivation being to compensate for the offset, thereby maintaining accurate recording.

In regard to claim 10, Shimizu discloses that information regarding storage capacity of the disc for recording data is received, and the scale factor n of recording density is determined on the basis of the received information (paragraphs 0092 & 0099).

In regard to claim 14, Shimizu discloses that the received information regarding storage capacity is sent from an external computer (see claim 6 rejection above).

11. Claims 11 & 12 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shimizu & Ishikawa as applied to claim 10 above, and further in view of Shishido.

For a description of Shimizu, see the rejection above. However, in regard to claim 11, Shimizu does not disclose a means for comparing the received information regarding storage capacity and a predetermined maximum storage capacity.

Shishido discloses a means for comparing received information regarding storage capacity and a predetermined maximum storage capacity (page 11, paragraph 0307). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention by the applicant to have added the comparing means of Shishido to the apparatus of Shimizu, the motivation being to avoid unnecessary writing in an event that a recording capacity is insufficient, thereby preventing disc wastage.

Furthermore, in regard to claim 12, Shishido and hence the obvious combination noted above, discloses that if the predetermined maximum storage capacity is exceeded in a comparison of the received information regarding storage capacity and the maximum storage capacity, data indicating that recording is impossible is sent (page 11, paragraph 0307).

#### Conclusion

12. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Sasaki et al. (EP 0908885 A2) discloses a time code generator wherein when recording signals at a speed of N times, the time code value is incremented from the initial value of time code at N frame steps.

Yoshimoto et al. (JP 2000149451 A) discloses a device that obtains the physical position of a target sector by finding the logical track address and the sector address corresponding to linear logical address with an integer operation.

Kim (US 2002/0012300 A1) discloses an information recording method and apparatus that can efficiently provide a logical address varied in accordance with recording density variation of the unit record region by detecting an ID code in the optical recording medium, converting the detected ID code into a linear code, converting a value of the linear code in accordance with a recording density, and converting again the linear code into a time code adaptable to the optical recording medium.

Akiyama et al. (US 6,469,961) discloses an optical recording medium for accurately deriving the address information or the disc rotation control information despite narrow track pitch and for recording signals to a high density.

Art Unit: 2652

#### Allowable Subject Matter

13. Claims 5, 13 & 21 objected to as being dependent upon rejected base claims, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

In regard to claims 5, 13 & 21 no prior art of record alone or in combination discloses or suggests a disc recording apparatus/method for recording data on a disc comprising a recording address y calculated from y=n(x-m)+m, where x is an absolute time address generated on the basis of a pregroove formed on the disc, n is a scale factor of recording density, and m is a recording start address; and a recording address z calculated as z=y+p in the case where recording is performed with the offset address, where p is the offset address, wherein the scale factor n is determined on the basis of a received information regarding storage capacity, further comprising means/step for comparing the received information regarding storage capacity and two predetermined maximum storage capacities.

14. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Peter Vincent Agustin whose telephone number is (703) 305-8980. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday 9:00AM - 5:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hoa Nguyen can be reached on (703) 305-9687. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Page 9

Art Unit: 2652

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

PVA 04/30/2004

W. RYYOUNG Y